Town Board Minutes

Meeting No. 6

Special Meeting

March 2, 1994

File: Spmtgpg

MEETINGS TO DATE 6 NO. OF REGULARS 4 NO. OF SPECIALS 2

LANCASTER, NEW YORK MARCH 2, 1994

A joint meeting of the Town Board and the Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster, New York, was held at the Lancaster Town Hall, 21 Central Avenue, Lancaster, New York on the 2nd day of March, 1994, at 7:00 P.M. and there were

PRESENT:

LUCIAN J. GRECO, SUPERVISOR

DONALD E. KWAK, COUNCIL MEMBER PATRICK C. POKORSKI, COUNCIL MEMBER THOMAS H. VAN NORTWICK, COUNCIL MEMBER GEORGE E. O'NEIL, PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN

JOHN P. GOBER, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

JOHNSTON N. REID, JR., PLANNING BOARD MEMBER DONNA G. STEMPNIAK, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MILDRED F. WHITTAKER, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

ABSENT:

ROBERT H. GIZA, COUNCIL MEMBER

MARTIN MEMMINGER, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MELVIN H. SZYMANSKI, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

ALSO PRESENT: ROBERT P. THILL, TOWN CLERK

JOSEPH F. REINA, ATTORNEY

ROBERT L. LANEY, BUILDING INSPECTOR ROBERT H. LABENSKI, TOWN ENGINEER

PURPOSE OF MEETING:

This joint meeting of the Town Board and Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster was held for the purpose of acting as a Municipal Review Committee for a State Environmental Quality Review of two actions.

IN THE MATTER OF THE SEQR REVIEW OF THE PROPOSED AUTUMN PARK SUBDIVISION

The Municipal Review Committee then proceeded with the Environmental Assessment on the proposed Autumn Park Subdivision matter and discussion of the project impact and magnitude as outlined on a Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 2 which was provided to each member.

> THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WAS OFFERED BY PLANNING BOARD MEMBER STEMPNIAK WHO MOVED ITS ADOPTION, SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER VAN NORTWICK, TO WIT:

RESOLVED, that the following Negative Declaration be adopted:

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION PROPOSED AUTUMN PARK SUBDIVISION NEGATIVE DECLARATION

PIEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the Town of Lancaster, acting as the designated lead agency under the State Environmental Quality Review Act, has reviewed the following described proposed action, which is a Type 1 action, through its designated Municipal Review Committee, and that committee having found no significant environmental impact, the lead agency, now issues a Negative Declaration for the purposes of Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law.

NAME AND ADDRESS OF LEAD AGENCY

Town of Lancaster 21 Central Avenue Lancaster, New York 14086 Joseph F. Reina, Town Attorney 716-684-3342

NATURE, EXTENT AND LOCATION OF ACTION:

The proposed development is of a parcel involving approximately 42 acres. The location of the premises being reviewed is on the north side of William Street west of Bowen Road.

The lead agency, the Town of Lancaster, through the review of the Municipal Review Committee, which is made up of at least three (3) members of the Town Board of the Town of Lancaster together with at least three (3) members of the Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster, has found the proposed action impacts to be as follows:

- The proposed action will result in a small to moderate physical change to the project site.
- The proposed action will not effect any unique or unusual land forms found on the site.
- 3. The proposed action will not affect any water body designated as protected.
- The proposed action will not affect any non-protected existing or new body of water.
- The proposed action will have a small to moderate affect on surface or ground water quality or quantity.
 - a) It is noted that a State Pollution Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) General Permit for Discharge from Construction Activities is required.
- The proposed action will not alter drainage flow patterns or surface water runoff.
- 7. The proposed action will not affect air quality.
- 8. The proposed action will have a small to moderate affect on threatened or endangered species.
- The proposed action will not substantially affect non-threatened or endangered species.
- 10. The proposed action will not affect agricultural land resources.
- 11. The proposed action will not affect aesthetic resources.

- The proposed action will not impact any site or structure of historic, pre-historic or paleontogical importance.
- 13. The proposed action will not affect the quantity or quality of existing or future open spaces or recreational opportunities.
- 14. The proposed action will not affect existing transportation systems.
- 15. The proposed action will have a small to moderate affect on the community's sources of fuel or energy supply.
- 16. There will not be objectional odors, noise, or vibration as a result of this proposed action.
- 17. The proposed action will not affect public health and safety.
- 18. The proposed action will have a small to moderate affect on the character of the existing community.
- 19. There is not, or is there likely to be, public controversy related to potential adverse environmental impacts.

s/s Lucian J. Greco, Supervisor Town of Lancaster

March 2, 1994

and,

BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that the Supervisor of the Town of Lancaster be and is hereby authorized to execute a "Negative Declaration" Notice of Determination of Non-Significance in this matter, and

BE IT FURTHER

RESOLWED, that the Town Attorney's Office prepare and file a "Negative Declaration" Notice of Determination of Non-Significance in this matter with the petitioner and with all required New York State and Erie County agencies, filing a copy of the letter of transmittal and "Negative Declaration" with the Town Clerk.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing Notice of Determination was duly put to a vote on roll call which resulted as follows:

SUPERVISOR GRECO	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER GIZA	VOTED	***
COUNCIL MEMBER KWAK	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER POKORSKI	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER VAN NORTWICK	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER O'NEIL	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GOBER	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MEMMINGER	VOTED	***
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER REID	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER STEMPNIAK	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI	VOTED	***
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER WHITTAKER	VOTED	YES
*** = ABSENT		

The Notice of Determination was thereupon unanimously adopted. March 2, 1994



IN THE MATTER OF THE SEQR REVIEW OF THE PROPOSED WESTWOOD PARK

The Municipal Review Committee then proceeded with the Environmental Assessment on the proposed Westwood Park matter with an item for item review and discussion of the project impact and magnitude as outlined on a Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 2 which was provided to each member.

THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WAS OFFERED BY COUNCIL MEMBER POKORSKI, WHO MOVED ITS ADOPTION, SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER KWAK , TO WIT:

RESOLVED, that the following Negative Declaration be adopted:

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION PROPOSED WESTWOOD PARK NEGATIVE DECLARATION

PIEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the Town of Lancaster, acting as the designated lead agency under the State Environmental Quality Review Act, has reviewed the following described proposed action, which is a Type 1 action, through its designated Municipal Review Committee, and that committee having found no significant environmental impact, the lead agency, now issues a Negative Declaration for the purposes of Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law.

NAME AND ADDRESS OF LEAD AGENCY

Town of Lancaster 21 Central Avenue Lancaster, New York 14086 Joseph F. Reina, Town Attorney 716-684-3342

NATURE, EXTENT AND LOCATION OF ACTION:

The proposed development is of a parcel involving approximately 145 acres.

The location of the premises being reviewed is on the north side of Erie Street, between Pavement Road and Schwartz Road.

The lead agency, the Town of Lancaster, through the review of the Municipal Review Committee, which is made up of at least three (3) members of the Town Board of the Town of Lancaster together with at least three (3) members of the Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster, has found the proposed action impacts to be as follows:

- The proposed action will result in a small to moderate physical change to the project site.
- The proposed action will not effect any unique or unusual land forms found on the site.
- The proposed action will not affect any water body designated as protected.
- The proposed action will not affect any non-protected existing or new body of water.

Page 24

The proposed action will not affect surface or ground water quality or quantity.

- a) It is noted that a State Pollution Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) General Permit for Discharge from Construction Activities is required.
- The proposed action will not alter drainage flow patterns or surface water runoff.
- 7. The proposed action will not affect air quality.
- 8. The proposed action will not affect any threatened or endangered species.
- The proposed action will not substantially affect non-threatened or endangered species.
- 10. The proposed action will not affect agricultural land resources.
- 11. The proposed action will not affect aesthetic resources.
- 12. The proposed action will not impact any site or structure of historic, pre-historic or paleontogical importance.
- 13. The proposed action will not affect the quantity or quality of existing or future open spaces or recreational opportunities.
- 14. The proposed action will not affect existing transportation systems.
- 15. The proposed action will not affect the community's sources of fuel or energy supply.
- 16. There will be small to moderate playground and sporting activity noise created as a result of this proposed action.
- 17. The proposed action will not affect public health and safety.
- 18. The proposed action will not affect the character of the existing community.
- 19. There is not, or is there likely to be, public controversy related to potential adverse environmental impacts.

March 2, 1994

and,

BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that the Supervisor of the Town of Lancaster be and is hereby authorized to execute a "Negative Declaration" Notice of Determination of Non-Significance in this matter, and

BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that the Town Attorney's Office prepare and file a "Negative Declaration" Notice of Determination of Non-Significance in this matter with the petitioner and with all required New York State and Erie County agencies, filing a copy of the letter of transmittal and "Negative Declaration" with the Town Clerk.



The question of the adoption of the foregoing Notice of Determination was duly put to a vote on roll call which resulted as follows:

SUPERVISOR GRECO	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER GIZA	VUTED	***
COUNCIL MEMBER KWAK	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER POKORSKI	VOTED	YES
COUNCIL MEMBER VAN NORTWICK	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER O'NEIL	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GOBER	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MEMMINGER	VOTED	***
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER REID	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER STEMPNIAK	VOTED	YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI	VOTED	***
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER WHITTAKER	VOTED	YES
*** = ABSENT		

The Notice of Determination was thereupon unanimously adopted.

March 2, 1994

ADJOURNMENT:

ON MOTION DULY MADE, SECONDED AND CARRIED, this meeting was adjourned at 7:40 P.M.

Robert P. Thill, Town Clerk

....